

April 14, 2026

RFP Addendum 1  
Request for Proposal Number:  
P26DC002  
Large-Scale, Offsite Clean Energy Solution

Note the following on the above referenced Request for Proposal.

1. The Due Date and Time shall be changed from Thursday, April 23, 2026, by 3:00 P.M. Arizona Local Time to Tuesday, May 5, 2026, by 3:00 P.M Arizona Local Time.

The bid opening link will remain unchanged.

[P26DC002 Bid Opening Link](#)

2. Exhibit 1 – Proposal Format, Item 6 “Client References” (referenced in Section 6.4) is hereby removed in its entirety.
3. Section 4.1.4 is hereby removed in its entirety and replaced with the following: New-build projects require the Commercial Operation Date (COD) to occur prior to 2031.
4. Question: Where should a response to Section 6 be provided?

Response: Responses to Section 6 – Qualifications must be submitted using the [P26DC002 Project Proposal Worksheet](#).

5. Question: Will the University consider a VPPA settled at a projects pricing node?

Response: The Consortium will evaluate all proposed projects.

6. Question: Will the University consider a VPPA for an operating project?

Response: No

7. Question: Will the Consortium consider a VPPA with energy settlement for one project and RECs sourced for another project?

Response: Yes. The Consortium will evaluate all proposed projects.

8. Question: Does the University have a preferred term length?

Response: No. Proposer may provide varied term lengths to bring the best value to the Consortium.

9. Which companies supply electricity to the Consortium?

Response: A combination of APS and SRP.

10. Question: Is the Consortium aware that independent power producers cannot legally provide electricity directly to end-use customers and must transact through the regulated utility?

Response: Yes.

11. Question: Given that Arizona does not operate a fully deregulated power market, how would settlements be administered? Additionally, if a liquid hub such as Palo Verde were selected as the settlement point, who would assume the associated basis risk.

Response: Pursuant to Section 4.1.2, the Consortium has indicated that it will also consider proposals for projects located outside Arizona that settle in the ISOs of CAISO, SPP, and ERCOT. The Consortium will evaluate any risk-mitigation strategies proposed.

12. Question: Can you clarify the definition of a VPPA to confirm that it does not conflict with SRP Rules and Regulations or Buy-Through considerations?

Response: The Consortium is not exclusively pursuing a VPPA structure. A VPPA is recommended only in markets where it is feasible, which excludes SRP and APS service territories. The Consortium expects proposed projects to originate from the ISOs identified in Section 4.1.2.

13. Projects located in CAISO, SPP, and ERCOT are eligible. For CAISO projects specifically, would you be open to using SP-15 as the VPPA settlement hub?

Response: Yes. The Consortium will evaluate all proposed projects.