Culturally Responsive Assessment of Indigenous Schooling (CRAIS) Tool

About This Tool

The purpose of this tool is to provide a resource for educators and researchers to assess the degree to which the core principles of culturally responsive schooling are present in schools serving high numbers of Indigenous students. While there are a number of tools that serve similar purposes for other student populations, cultural responsiveness has unique and specific elements within the context of Native American communities. Schools that serve a majority of Indigenous students are generally located on or bordering federally recognized sovereign Native Nations with a government-to-government relationship to the federal government, so the more generic diversity, equity, and inclusion tools that currently exist are insufficient for the unique contexts of schools in Indian Country. Thus, we offer a tool that can be used to identify and strengthen the integration of culturally responsive principles specifically for, with, and in Indigenous-serving schools. Users are encouraged to use the CRAIS Tool for documents and activities including: teacher observations, lesson plans, curriculum planning and development, and broader school-wide initiatives.

Recommended Citation

Castagno, A.E., Joseph, D., & Dass, P.M. (2021). *Culturally responsive assessment of Indigenous schooling tool*. Flagstaff, AZ: Institute for Native-serving Educators.

For Additional Information

Inquiries should be directed to Northern Arizona University's Institute for Native-serving Educators at https://nau.edu/ine, or to Dr. Angelina E. Castagno at angelina.castagno@nau.edu

Acknowledgements & Disclaimer

This tool was developed through funding from the National Science Foundation grant #1908464. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

Culturally Responsive Assessment of Indigenous Schooling (CRAIS) Tool

Use this form to analyze and document evidence of culturally responsive principles in a particular unit of analysis. Be sure to note the date, who is completing the form, and the type of data being assessed. For statistical consistency, use whole numbers (i.e., avoid 1.5 or 2 ½). Provide qualitative descriptions to substantiate your numeric assessment when possible.

Date:	Location:	Observer's Name:
Note what is I	peing observed and/or analyzed (i	e., teaching, a particular text, a specific curriculum unit, etc.):

	-3 High degree of opposite	-2 Medium degree of opposite	-1 Low degree of opposite	0 Zero	1 Low	2 Medium	3 High	N/A	Notes
Stereotypes of Indigenous people and or/communities are addressed									
Indigenous people are represented as contemporary (not only historical)									

		_	_				_			
		-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3		
		High	Medium	Low	Zero	Low	Medium	High		
		degree	degree	degree					N/A	Notes
		of	of	of						
		opposite	opposite	opposite						
3.	Indigenous people									
	are represented as									
	diverse (not a									
	monolithic "they")									
	monontine they j									
4.	Traditional and/or									
	cultural knowledge is									
	included									
5.	Recognition of Native									
	Nations as									
	governmental									
	agencies									
	3									
6.	Recognition of treaty									
	rights and/or federal									
	Indian law									
7.	Students are									
	encouraged to									
	exercise self-									
	determination and									
	agency									
8.	Communities are									
	encouraged to									
	exercise self-									
	determination and									
	agency									
	agency									

	2	2	4	0	4	2	2		
	-3 High degree of opposite	-2 Medium degree of opposite	-1 Low degree of opposite	0 Zero	1 Low	2 Medium	3 High	N/A	Notes
9. Models critical thinking about historical narratives and contemporary status quo									
10. Encourages asking critically-oriented questions about historical narratives and contemporary status quo									
11. Diverse narratives and perspectives are integrated									
12. Local/regional Indigenous community is reflected									
13. Norms, values, traditions, interests of local/regional Indigenous community are leveraged for learning opportunities									

	-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3		
	High	Medium	Low	Zero	Low	Medium	High		
	degree	degree	degree					N/A	Notes
	of	of	of						
	opposite	opposite	opposite						
14. Encourages students									
to understand									
themselves within									
broader communities									
15. Relationships within									
and among									
_									
local/regional									
Indigenous									
communities are									
understood and/or									
reflected									
16. Encourages students									
_									
to build and sustain									
relationships									
17. Relationships within									
the classroom are									
strong									
Strong									
10 Class seferance									
18. Clear reference									
and/or integration of									
local/regional									
Indigenous context									
19. Local/regional									
context is leveraged									
for learning									
opportunities									

		_	4	0	4	2	2		
	-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3		
	High	Medium	Low	Zero	Low	Medium	High		
	degree	degree	degree					N/A	Notes
	of	of	of						
	opposite	opposite	opposite						
20. Recognition that									
local/regional									
Indigenous context is									
specific and unique,									
as are other contexts									
21. Local Indigenous									
language(s) is valued									
22. Local Indigenous									
language(s) is									
integrated									
23. Academic language is									
built, but not at the									
expense of local									
Indigenous									
language(s)									
iuliguuge(3)									

Scoring and Analysis of the CRAIS Tool

The rating system in the CRAIS Tool deviates from typical practice in that it includes both positive and negative numeric values. Each item can be rated positively on a three-point scale of low (+1), medium (+2), and high (+3), indicating the level of inclusion of the principle in the review of the lesson plan or teacher observation. Additionally, because of the nature of cultural discontinuity that exists between schooling (very often aligned with Western standards) and localized Indigenous communities, the tool intentionally includes negative numeric values to indicate when the opposite of a principle is evident. Thus, the scale includes a low degree of opposite (-1), a medium degree of opposite (-2), and a high degree of opposite (-3) to capture when the unit of analysis (i.e., the curriculum unit, the teaching practice, etc.) is actually doing the opposite of what culturally responsive principles suggest is best practice. The zero (0) on the scale is for indicating when the principle is neither present nor opposed; instead, it is neutrally absent although it is still relevant and could theoretically be observed in the unit of analysis. Users of the CRAIS Tool will note that there is an option for the observer to check "not applicable" for any of the 23 CRS principles; not applicable is meant to suggest that this principle is not expected to be observed because it has no relevance to the unit of analysis. And finally, the Tool includes a "Notes" section for the observer to enter narrative explanations related to their numeric selection for each principle.

Drawing from the rich body of literature on Culturally Responsive Schooling (CRS) with and in Indigenous communities, the 23 principles in the CRAIS Tool can be grouped under five broad categories. Users of the CRAIS Tool may find these five categories useful for thinking about the implications and recommendations for improved practice. The categories are identified below, with their corresponding statements on the tool:

- Relationality, relationships, and communities (n=4)
 - 14. Encourages students to understand themselves within broader communities
 - 15. Relationships within and among local/regional Indigenous community are understood and/or reflected
 - 16. Encourages students to build and sustain relationships
 - 17. Relationships within the classroom are strong
- Indigenous knowledge systems and language (n=6)
 - 4. Traditional and/or cultural knowledge is included
 - 13. Norms, values, traditions, interests of local/regional Indigenous community are leveraged for learning opportunities
 - 19. Local/regional context is leveraged for learning opportunities
 - 21. Local Indigenous language(s) is valued
 - 22. Local Indigenous language(s) is integrated
 - 23. Academic language is built, but not at the expense of local Indigenous language(s)
- Sociopolitical context and concepts, and specifically sovereignty, self-determination, and nationhood (n=4)
 - 5. Recognition of Native Nations as governmental agencies
 - 6. Recognition of treaty rights and/or federal Indian law
 - 7. Students are encouraged to exercise self-determination and agency
 - 8. Communities are encouraged to exercise self-determination and agency
- Representation of Indigenous peoples (n=5)
 - 2. Indigenous people are represented as contemporary (not only historical)
 - 3. Indigenous people are represented as diverse (not a monolithic "they")
 - 12. Local/regional Indigenous community is reflected
 - 18. Clear reference and/or integration of local/regional Indigenous context
 - 20. Recognition that local/regional Indigenous context is specific and unique, as are other contexts
- Critical understandings of diversity, and specifically race (n=4)
 - 1. Stereotypes of Indigenous people and or/communities are addressed
 - 9. Models critical thinking about historical narratives and contemporary status quo
 - 10. Encourages asking critically-oriented questions about historical narratives and contemporary status quo
 - 11. Diverse narratives and perspectives are integrated

The tool itself does not cluster the statements under these categories, but the categories are important for the purposes of analysis and making broad meaning of the ways culturally responsive principles are evidenced. For example, users of the tool may notice higher scores in certain categories and lower scores in other categories, and therefore decide to focus improvement efforts in the categories with lower scores.

For additional information about the development and rationale of this CRAIS Tool, we invite you to read a related peer-reviewed article here: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15595692.2021.1956455